

To: CN=Bruce Herbold/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Erin
Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[];
N=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Tom
Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
From: CN=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Wed 6/30/2010 11:03:34 PM
Subject: Fw: BDCP

In response to my note below, Ron called back but I missed him - impressively responsive he is. His vmail reiterated that this was much a first cut and didn't have the depth we all will need.

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

----- Original Message -----

From: Karen Schwinn

Sent: 06/30/2010 05:23 PM EDT

To: "Ronald E Milligan" <RMilligan@usbr.gov>

Cc: "David Nawi" <David_Nawi@ios.doi.gov>

Subject: BDCP

Hi Ron -

Good job on the briefing today. I had a couple of questions I didn't get a chance to ask. Feel free to call me if its easier than an email response. And this can wait till next week as I know you've got the SC mtg tomorrow.

On the analytical scenarios on slide 9, were these additive? If not, they should be at some point, right?

Can we see the assumptions for each of these scenarios? (Let me know if its someplace on website already.)

Will the Board's flow criteria be fed into Scenario #5 when it comes out next month?

What other water quality constituents will be modeled and when?

As I understand it, storage was held constant. Will there be a sensitivity analysis with different potential future additional storage?

What's the thinking about size and location of the proposed forebay? (I hadn't been thinking of potential 404 issues for this feature; hopefully others have.)

Thanks! - Karen 415/972-3472

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services